Civil / Site / Environmental

RALPH G. MASTROMONACO, P.E., P.C.

Consulting Engineers 13 Dove Court, Croton-on-Hudson, New York 10520 Tel: (914) 271-4762 Fax: (914) 271-2820

www.rgmpepc.com

October 12, 2023

Jeff Faiella, Chairman and Members Village of Buchanan Planning Board Municipal Building 236 Tate Avenue Buchanan, NY 10511

Re: Village Square Residences and Commercial Development 3095 Albany Post Road Village of Buchanan, NY

Dear Mr. Chairman and Members:

Enclosed please find ten (10) copies of the following information:

- 1. Sets of plans as follows:
 - Site Plan / Preliminary Plat Village Square Residential/Commercial for Carbone Brothers 3095, LLC dated November 23, 2022 revised October 9, 2023, sheet 1 of 8 sheets,
 - b. Utility Plan Village Square Residential/Commercial for Carbone Brothers 3095, LLC dated November 23, 2022 revised October 9, 2023 sheet 2 of 8 sheets,
 - Erosion Control Plan Village Square Residential/Commercial for Carbone Brothers 3095, LLC dated November 23, 2022 revised September 22, 2023, sheet 3 of 8 sheets,
 - d. Existing Conditions, Plan Village Square Residential/Commercial for Carbone Brothers 3095, LLC dated June 12, 2023, sheet 4 of 8 sheets
 - e. Road Profiles / Sections Village Square Residential/Commercial for Carbone Brothers 3095, LLC dated November 23, 2022 sheet 5 of 8 sheets,
 - f. Sewer Profile / Details Village Square Residential/Commercial for Carbone Brothers 3095, LLC dated August 2, 2023 revised August 21, 2023 sheet 6 of 8 sheets
 - g. Details / Notes Village Square Residential/Commercial for Carbone Brothers 3095, LLC dated November 23, 2022 revised August 10, 2023 sheet 7 of 8 sheets,
 - h. Village of Buchanan Standard Details Village Square Residential/Commercial for Carbone Brothers 3095, LLC dated September 18, 2023 sheet 8 of 8 sheets
- 2. Preliminary Plat Village Square Residential/Commercial for Carbone Brothers 3095, LLC dated August 10, 2023 revised October 9, 2023, sheet 1 of 1 sheets,
- 3. Garbage Truck Access Plan, Village Square Residential/Commercial for Carbone Brothers 3095, LLC dated September 22, 2023,
- 4. Adjoining Property Section Plan, Village Square Residential/Commercial for Carbone Brothers 3095, LLC dated October 10, 2023,
- 5. Plans by Joseph Thompson RA revised October 11, 2023, C1.01, Landscape Plan and G0.01 Rendering, two (2) sheets,

We received comments from James J. Hahn Engineering, PC dated August 18, 2023 and offer the following information:

Comments 1 through 40:

1. As previously mentioned, a sewer easement description will be required.

Response: The metes and bounds of the proposed easement are shown on the Plat. The sewer easement description will be provided prior to filing of the Plat.

2. As previously mentioned, all right-of-way improvements shall conform to Village and NYSDOT standards as applicable. Additionally, a proposed signage plan will be required, including pedestrian crossing signage. Signage visible and located along the NYSDOT right-of-way will need to be approved by the NYSDOT.

Response: The only signage proposed is a monument sign of the Village Square to be located at the south side of the residential buildings. No signs are proposed in relation to NYS DOT. Any signs for the commercial property will be provided at the Site Plan approval for the commercial lot.

3. As previously mentioned, turning movements should be provided for the proposed refuse collection trucks.

Response: The refuse areas have been consolidated to the center of the site and expanded in size. The private carter will roll-out the dumpsters. Turning Movements are provided.

4. As previously mentioned, the SWPPP must include all of the information required by the General Permit GP-0-20—001. This shall include inspection requirements, contractor certification, maintenance agreement, post-construction maintenance requirements, and all of the other items required by the permit.

Response: The Preliminary SWPPP will be updated for such information upon approval of the Site Plan.

5. As previously mentioned, curbing is proposed along Albany Post Road. Drainage may be required. Under existing conditions, runoff from Albany Post Road enters the site near the utility pole located at the northwest comer of the property and flows towards the wetland. Under proposed conditions this flow path will be cut off by the proposed curb and runoff may be directed onto neighboring driveways. Both the Village and the NYSDOT would require that this condition be addressed. Furthermore, any other comments from the NYSDOT, including those regarding drainage in the right-of-way, should be forwarded for review.

Response: We will further review this as there is no clear indication that flow travels from Albany Post Road.

6. As previously mentioned, a concrete wash-out location and detail should be provided. After pouring concrete, including sidewalks and foundations, the contractor will require a location to discharge concrete waste from deliveries. The note on the site plan indicating no concrete washout should be removed. Refer to page 2.24 of the NYSDEC Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control (2016) for additional information. If the engineer needs a detail, this office can provide one.

Response: The restrictive distances from wetlands prohibit having concrete washout on site.

7. As previously mentioned, the Westchester County Planning Board Referral Review dated February 3, 2023, indicated that the drive—thru should be removed from the proposed retail site due to sites proximity to residential uses and conformance to other uses on Albany Post Road. The applicant has indicated that this is not the expectation of the developers. This should be reviewed by the Board.

Response: Agreed.

8. As previously mentioned, per Village Code §211-27.1, the project should include reservation of parkland or payment in lieu of. The Board of Trustees may consider the stormwater wetlands area as a recreational green space.

Response: Agreed.

9. Comments regarding the traffic plan by the traffic consultant should be addressed.

Response: These will be addressed by others.

10. As previously mentioned, the applicant should address comments from the wetland consultant.

Response: These will be addressed by Steven Marino, the applicant's wetland consultant.

11. As previously mentioned, screening plants should be provided between the parking lot and the school property.

Response: This is shown on the landscape plans by Joe Thompson.

12. As previously mentioned, water and fire suppression service connections and metering should be addressed with Building and Fire Departments prior to issuance of a building permit.

Response: Agreed

13. As previously mentioned, the area of the proposed stormwater wetland and any tributary offsite runoff from the school property should be considered in the HydroCAD model. Updated drainage maps were not provided.

Response: We will review this comment.

14. As previously mentioned, it appears the HydroCAD model used an empty wetland as the start of storage. In actuality, the wetland is proposed with a permanent pool elevation. The permanent pool elevation should be the start of the storage model. The complete analysis must be provided.

Response: The enclosed graphs represent this condition, and is similar to the materials submitted earlier, noting that there is no change in the original results using this procedure.

15, As previously mentioned, any trees to be protected should be shown on the plans. The Village does not define "Specimen Trees" referred to the response letter. Per Village Code §159-5, a tree shall be 8 inches or more in diameter measured 2 feet above ground level.

Response: A tree inventory was conducted by Link Surveyors.

16. As previously mentioned, a maintenance agreement and easement must be provided for the proposed stormwater practice as required by Village Code §211-97 and Part V.A.5. of the General Permit. A site-specific agreement must be provided for review. It must include the ability for the Village to enter the site for inspection and to maintain the facility should the owner or any subsequent owner fail to do so, as required by Village Code §211-97 B. and Part VII. M. of the General Permit.

Response: A note on the Plat currently indicates a blanket easement for utilities covering the stormwater practice, drainage, access and utilities. It is understood that the formal easement would be provided for approval prior to Site Plan Approval and filing the Plat.

17. As previously mentioned, the proposed commercial property is using the stormwater system on the residential property. A draft easement agreement should be provided.

Response: Agreed as in the above responses.

18. As previously mentioned, easement descriptions for the proposed easements should be provided, including stormwater, sewer, water, and sidewalks. Drafts of these items should be provided for review prior to site plan and subdivision approval.

Response: The sidewalk easement, as shown on the Site Plan, awaits NYS DOT concurrence and other easements are noted on the Plat currently. Upon finalization of the sidewalk easement all of the proposed easements will be provided for review.

19. As previously mentioned, the proposed easement description for the Village's water pit extending onto the property should be submitted.

Response: The water pit easement is shown on the Plat and the documents will be provided to the Village for approval prior to Site Plan approval and the filing of the Plat.

20. Per §I7I-20 C. of the Village Code, a survey of the existing property with topographic contours prepared by a licensed land surveyor must be provided.

Response: A check plot of the topography was done recently by Link Land Surveying. The Site Plans indicate that the original base survey was performed by Baxter Surveying.

21. The applicant appears to be using Westchester County GIS topographic information. The source of topographic information should be listed on the plans.

Response: An origination note has been added to the Site Plan.

22. The Tc calculations must be provided in the SWPPP. The flow path shown previously did not appear to be representative of actual conditions. The existing and proposed conditions flow paths must be shown on the drainage maps provided in the SWPPP.

Response: We added herein, in advance of re-creating the SWPPP, the exhibits indicating the travel time paths and computations.

23. A complete updated hydrologic analysis with drainage maps and flow paths that incorporate all of the requested items herein regarding drainage areas, flow paths, starting storage elevations, etc. must be provided for review.

Response: See previous response.

24. An emergency condition analysis utilizing no wetland storage or low flow orifices should be provided to demonstrate that in the event of failure or no maintenance, the 100-year storm can safely pass over the high-level overflow with adequate freeboard provided.

Response: An analysis was provided and we enclose two exhibits that examine the issue raised by the Village Engineer.

25. The wetland section on Sheet 6 of 7 should indicate pertinent elevations such as permanent pool, WQv, 1-year, 10-year, 100-year, overflow, etc.

Response: This will be done for the Final SWPPP.

26. Per the hydrologic model, during large storm events, the wetland will be a depth of nearly 5 feet and no safety bench is provided. As a result, a fence or barrier around the stormwater wetland should be considered.

Response: A fence has been added around basin.

27. In the comment response letter, reference is made to information from Steven Marino regarding connecting footing drains into the proposed stormwater wetland. That information should be included in the SWPPP.

Response: This will be addressed by Steven Marino since it is beneficial to maintain water flow to the wetland.

28. The NOI should be fully completed.

Response: This will be done after the drainage design is completed.

29. A copy of the NRCS Soil Survey should be included in the SWPPP.

Response: This was included in the Preliminary SWPPP.

30. RRv calculations and pre—treatment sizing must be provided in the SWPPP.

Response: This will be provided as needed by NYS DEC in the Final SWPPP.

31. The values used in the WQv calculation are inconsistent and must be corrected.

Response: This will be reviewed as WQv was computed in the SWPPP.

32. Proposed sewer connections and pipe crossings should be shown on the sewer profile.

Response: Sewer connections and pipe crossings have been added to the Profile.

33. Ductile iron pipe Class 54 should be used for the relocated sewer main.

Response: This is shown on the revised Site Plan.

34. The construction sequence should be revised to include the construction of retaining walls and the retail building. It should also be noted in Step #19 that the stormwater wetland should not receive runoff until the contributing tributary area is fully stabilized.

Response: This is included on the revised plans accompanying this letter report

35. The landscaping plan should be revised to provide a single comprehensive plan that includes the proposed plantings in and around the wetlands and screening plantings.

Response: This will be reviewed as the Landscaping Plans are entirely different being decorative near the buildings and for specific mitigation at the proposed wetland.

36. As shown in the table on the Site Plan, it is unclear how the wetland buffer area and disturbance to the buffer area has decreased from the previous plan. This should be explained.

Response: Earlier wetland buffer was off by 85 square feet since one wetland flag was left off the series. The current plans have the corrected areas.

37. A detail for the proposed fencing should be provided.

Response: The detail is provided on the revised plans submitted herein.

38. Three different crosswalk details are provided. It should be indicated where each type is to be used. Any unused details should be removed from the plans.

Response: The detail has been condensed from three options to one type.

39. Per the comment response letter, the applicant no longer is proposing to use natural gas. The Project Overview should be revised to be consistent with the response letter.

Response: This will be reviewed.

40. As per the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), plans are to be submitted to the Army Corps of Engineers for comments.

Response: Plans were sent to ACOE on August 18, 2023. The ACOE responded to us on September 21, 2023. We have decided to file for an Army Corps permit to expedite the process rather than seek a jurisdictional determination.

We are submitting the above materials for the October 26, 2023 meeting of the Planning Board.

Please call if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

h War

Ralph G. Mastromonaco, PE

Cc: A. Carbone, J. Thompson, RA, S. Marino, PWS

Figure: Analysis 100 Year Storm - Basin with Start Elevation 84.1 feet showing a reduction in peak flows.



Figure: Analysis 100 Year Storm - Peak Elevation of 84.98 feet with Start elevation at 84.1 feet (The basin top elevation is 86.0 ft. – therefore there would be about 1 foot of freeboard provided in this case)



In regard to emergency events, the figures indicate proper operation of the stormwater basin even with a starting elevation of 84.1 feet being the low level outlet elevation.







Sheets: Travel Time Computations – Existing

TT_CALCS_CARBONE						
		VVS-E	KISTING-SITE			1
SHEET FLOW (L.T. 100 FT)						
LENGTH	SLOPE	MANNING	2 YR PRP	DELTA Y	TRAVE	LTIME
(FT)	PERCENT	n	(INCHES)	(FT)	HOURS	MINUTES
92.70	7.180	0.400	3.450	6.656	0.195	11.67
SHALLOW CONCENTRATED FLOW (UN-PAVED PATH)					1.1.1	
LENGTH	SLOPE			DELTA Y	TRAVEL	
(FT)	PERCENT			(FT)	TIME	
114.20	17.450			19.928	0.005	0.28
SHALLOW CONCENTRATED FLOW (UN-PAVED PATH)						
LENGTH	SLOPE			DELTA Y	TRAVEL	
(FT)	PERCENT			(FT)	TIME	
88.50	4.630			4.098	0.007	0.42
SHALLOW CONCENTRATED FLOW (UN-PAVED PATH)						
LENGTH	SLOPE			DELTA Y	TRAVEL	
(FT)	PERCENT			(FT)	TIME	
262.20	1.460			3.828	0.037	2.24
				TOTAL HRS.	0.244	14.62
		1	WOODS			
SHEET FLOW (L.T. 100 FT)	CLODE	MANINUNIC			TDAVEL	
	DEDOENT	MAINING		 UELIA I	TIME	
(FT)	PERCENT	n 0.400	(INCHES)	 (F1)	0.149	0.00
36.90	2.520	0.400	3.450	0.980	0.140	0.00
SHALLOW CONCENTRATED FLOW (UN-PAVED PATH)						
LENGTH	SLOPE			DELTA Y	TRAVEL	
(FT)	PERCENT			(FT)	TIME	
66.70	6.02			4.02	0.00	0.28
SHALLOW CONCENTRATED FLOW (UN-PAVED PATH)						
LENGTH	SLOPE			DELTA Y	TRAVEL	
(FT)	PERCENT			(FT)	TIME	
285.60	1.40			4.00	0.04	2.49
				TOTAL HPS	0 194	11.62
				IOTAL III.O.	0.104	11.00

Sheets: Travel Time Computations - Proposed

TILAT
INUTER
INUTES
19.13
0.34
0.42
0.21
0.04
_
0.33
0 145
0.140
0 111
0.510
_
_
0.252
U.2.02
0.041
21.53







NOTE: CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL MEASURES ON THE FOLLOWING SCHEDULE:	WEEKLY	MONTHLY	AFTER RAINFALL	AS NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN FUNCTION	AS PROGRESS ELIMINATES NEED
HAYBALE/SILT FENCE SEDIMENT BARRIER		IN	IN	REPL	REM
INLET PROTECTION	IN		IN	CLN	
HAYBALE CHECKS		IN	IN	CLN REPL	REM
SEDIMENT TRAPS			IN		REM















ADJOINING PROPERTY SECTION PLAN scale: hor. 1"=20' ver. 1"=20'

RALPH G. MASTROMONACO, P.E., P.C. Consulting Engineers 13 Dove Court, Croton-on-Hudson, New York 10520 (914) 271-4762 (914) 271-2820 Fax

VILLAGE OF BUCHANAN - WESTCHESTER COUNTY

DRAWING INDEX:

TITLE SH

C1.01 C1.02 C1.03 L1.01 L1.02 AR1.01 AR1.02 AR1.03 A1.01 A1.02 A1.03 A1.04 A1.05 A2.01 A2.02 A2.03 A5.01

LANDSCAF ENLARGED LANDSCAPI NG DET SITE LIGHTING PL HTING DETAILS **NT ELEVATION** ORTHOGRA FRONT ENTRANCE RE GROUND FLOOR PLAN FIRST FLOOR PLAN SECOND FLOOR PLAN THIRD FLOOR PLAN ROOF PLAN ELEVATIONS ELEVATIONS ELEVATIONS MONUMENT SIGN

urple Heart Hosta	18"	PH	36	Blue Purple Flowers	4-9
zalea	48"	ΑZ	79	Pinkish Purple Flowers	4-8
reeping Phlox	24"	СР	5	Pink Flower Groundcover	3-10
/intergreen Boxwood	36"	WB	105	Potted and trimmed for spiral topiary	4-9
merald green arborvitae	5'-6' Planting Size	AB	58	Evergreen, moderate growth rate	2-7
ink Dogwood	8'-10' Planting Size	PD	15	Flowering Deciduous Tree	5-9

C1.01

A

A

A